



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/697,664

10/29/2003

Terry Hildreth

117.0002-00000

8387

22882 7590 05/17/2006

MARTIN & FERRARO, LLP  
1557 LAKE O'PINES STREET, NE  
HARTVILLE, OH 44632

EXAMINER

LIN, KUANG Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1725

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

10/697,664

|                                 |             |   |                     |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NO./<br>CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /<br>PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

|          |       |
|----------|-------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER |
|----------|-------|

20060515

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents**

In a telephone conversation in May 15, 2006 between Mr. Martin and Examiner Lin, Mr. Martin brought the attention of Examiner Lin to page 2 of the office action dated April 28, 2006, wherein only claims 1-7 are rejected and he requested the Examiner to issue a new office action. Upon reviewing the office action, it was discovered that an inadvertent typographic error occurs in page 2, second paragraph of the office action in view of "Office Action Summary" wherein it indicates in item No. 6 that claims 1-7 and 23-36 are rejected. Thus, it is apparent that the correct statement shall be "claims 1-7 and 23-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strininger et al." Since the typographic error is so apparent to those of ordinary skill in the patent prosecution field that a new office action is not deemed to be necessary.

Kuang Y. Lin  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 1725